I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Patent Office Electronic Filing System (EFS) on March 23, 2011 local (Pacific) time.

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C.

By /stephen j leblanc/

Appl. No. : 10/575,569 Confirmation No. 9577

Applicant : David Sretavan, et al. Filed : October 24, 2006

TC/A.U. : 3773 Examiner : Vy Q. Bui

Docket No. : 305S-100110US

Customer No.: 22798 Client Ref No.: SF03-013-2

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, 37 CFR § 1.97 and § 1.98 AND MCKESSON STATEMENT OF RELATEDNESS PURSUANT TO MPEP § 2001.06b

Sir:

The references cited on the attached form PTO-1449 are being called to the attention of the Examiner. A copy of the cited US Publication has not been enclosed because it is no longer required by the office for submission. It is respectfully requested that the cited information be expressly considered during the prosecution of this application, and the references be made of record therein and appear among the "references cited" on any patent to issue therefrom.

As provided for by 37 CFR 1.97(g) and (h), no inference should be made that the information and references cited are prior art merely because they are in this statement and no representation is being made that a search has been conducted or that this statement encompasses all the possible relevant information.

In view of McKesson Information Solutions v. Bridge Medical (Fed. Cir. 2007), and MPEP 2001.06(b)), Applicants specifically inform the Examiner that this case may be related to the following U.S. Patent Application(s):

AN 11/404,261, filed 4/11/2006 by Sretavan et al., Now US Pat. No. 7,895,885

The Examiner is specifically requested to review the file history, including art made of record, as well as any substantive action in the above application(s) including any Restriction Requirements, Office Actions, Responses, Appeals, Appeal Briefs, Examiner's Replies, Notice(s) of Allowance or Issuance in the above-mentioned related application(s), prior to taking any action in the subject application. Applicants further note that the Examiner is aware that prosecution may be ongoing in any related case, and that the Examiner will continue to evaluate the related cases as needed. The Examiner is specifically requested to consider all prior art listed in the above application and indicate that all such art will be printed as considered prior art in any issued letters patent.

Per McKesson, the Examiner is specifically advised that all such related applications MUST be evaluated for double patenting and for obviousness-type double patenting issues prior to allowance of any claim in the subject application.

Applicant understands that, due to modern and easy access by the Examiner to related cases on PAIR, or other electronic databases available to the Examiner, there is no need for Applicant to submit copies of any paper in the file wrapper for any related case.

Applicant believes that <u>no fee is required</u> for submission of this statement, since it is being submitted prior to the first Office Action on the merits per 37 CFR 1.97(b)(3). However, if a fee is required, the Commissioner is authorized to deduct such fee from the undersigned's Deposit Account No. 50-0893. Please deduct any additional fees from, or credit any overpayment to, the above-noted Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/stephen j leblanc/

Stephen J. LeBlanc Reg. No. 36,579

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C. P.O. BOX 458 Alameda, CA 94501 (510) 337-7871/Fax (510) 337-7877